	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/913,736	MAEKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael R. Davis</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 November 2003</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 11 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  It was agreed to cancel non-elected claims 11 and 12 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	•	
Itte U. Annskori		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		